
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Item Formats

- Dichotomous Format
- Two alternatives $\qquad$
- True/False
- MMPI/2; MMPI/A $\qquad$
- Polytomous or Polychotomous Format
- More than two alternatives $\qquad$
- Multiple choice
- Psy427 Midterm, SAT, GRE, $\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Item Formats

- Distractors
- Item Formats
- Incorrect choices on a polychotomous test
- Best to have three or four
- BUT - $\qquad$
- one study (Sidick, Barret, \& Doverspike, 1994) found equivalent validity and reliability for a test with two distractors (three items) as one with four distractors (five items).
- SO, best might be to have two to four (further study is needed)


## Should you guess on polytomous tests?

- Depends... Correction for guessing: $\qquad$
Corrected Score $=R-\frac{W}{n-1}$
- R is the number correct
- $W$ is the number incorrect
- $n$ is the number of polytomous choices
- If no correction for guessing, guess away.
- If there is a correction for guessing, better to leave some blank (unless you can beat the odds)
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## Other Test Items

- Likert scales
- On a rating scale of 1-5, or 1-6, 1-7, etc. where
- 1 = strongly disagree
- 2 = moderately disagree
- 3 = mildly disagree
- 4 = mildly agree
- 5 = moderately agree
- 6 = strongly agree
- rate the following statements....


## OtherTest Items

- Likert scales $\qquad$
- Even vs. odd number of choices
- Even numbers prevents "fence-sitting"
- Odd numbers allows people to be neutral
- Likert items are VERY popular measurement items in psychology.
- Technically ordinal but are often assumed continuous if 5 or more choices
- With that assumption we can calculate means, factor analyze, etc.


## OtherTest Items

- Category format
- Like Likert, but with MANY more categories
- e.g., 10-point scale
- Best if used with anchors
- Research supports use of 7-point scales to 21point scales


## OtherTest Items

- Visual Analogue Scale

No Headache
Worst Headache

- Also used in research
- dials, knobs
- time sampling


## Checklists \& Q-Sorts

- Both used in qualitative research as well as quantitative research
- Checklists
- Present list of words (adjectives)
- Have person choose to endorse each item
- Can determine perceptions of concepts using checklists.


## Checklists \& Q-Sorts

- Adjective Checklists (from
http://www.encyclopedia.com/doc/1087-AdjectiveCheckList.html)
- In psychometrics, any list of adjectives that can be marked as applicable or not applicable
- to oneself
- to one's ideal self
- to another person, OR
- to some other entity or concept.


## Checklists \& Q-Sorts

- Checklists
- When written with initial uppercase letters (ACL), the term denotes more specifically a measure consisting of a list of 300 adjectives, from absent-minded to zany
- Selected by the US psychologist Harrison G. Gough (born 1921) and introduced as a commercial test in 1952.
- The test yields 24 scores, including measures of personal adjustment, self-confidence, self-control, lability, counselling readiness, some response styles, and 15 personality needs, such as achievement, dominance, and endurance.


## Checklists \& Q-Sorts

- Q-Sorts
- Introduced by William Stephenson in 1935
- PhD in physics 1926; PhD in psychology in 1929
- Student of Charles Spearman
- Goal: to get a quantitative description of a person's perceptions of a concept
- Process: give subject a pile of numbered "cards" \& have them sort them into piles
- Piles represent graded degrees of description (most descriptive to least descriptive).


## Checklists \& O-Sorts

- Q-Sorts
- Means of self-evaluation of client's current status
- The Q-Sort consists of a number of cards, often as many as 40 or 50 , even 100 items each consisting of a single trait, belief, or behavior.
- The goal is to sort these cards into one of five columns ranging from statements such as, 'very much like me' to 'not at all like me.'
- There are typically a specific number of cards allowed for each column, forcing the client to balance the cards evenly.
- Example:
- California Q-sort, Attachment Q-sort


## Example Q-sort



$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Attachment Q-sort
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## Item Analysis

- Methods used to evaluate test items.
- What are good items?
- Techniques
- Item Difficulty (or easiness)
- Discriminability
- Extreme Group
- Item/Total Correlation
- Item Characteristic Curves
- Item Response Theory
- Criterion-Referenced Testing


## Item Difficulty

- The proportion of people who get a particular item correct or that endorse an item (if there is no "correct" response, e.g. MMPI)
- Often thought of as the item's easiness $\qquad$ because it is based on the number correct/endorsed


## Item Difficulty

- The difficulty can be given in proportion for or it can be standardized in to a Z-value $\qquad$
$Z=\frac{[\ln (1-p)]-\ln (p)}{1.7}$


## Item Difficulty

$\qquad$

- For example a test with the difficulty of 84
$Z=\frac{(\ln (.16)-\ln (.84))}{1.7}$
$=\frac{(-1.83+.17)}{1.7}$
$=-1.66 / 1.7$
$=-1.00$
$(-2 \rightarrow 2$ is typical range)
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## Difficult Item (35\%)

If you are taking a criterion referenced test in a social psychology course and you need to score a 92 in order to get an A, the criterion is
a) Social Psychology * $\qquad$
b) Scoring a 92
c) Getting an A $\qquad$
d) Not enough info.

## Difficult Item (35\%)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{[\ln (1-p)]-\ln (p)}{1.7} \\
Z & =\frac{(\ln (.65)-\ln (.35))}{1.7} \\
& =\frac{(-.431+1.050)}{1.7} \\
& =.619 / 1.7 \\
& =.364
\end{aligned}
$$

## Moderate Item (51\%)

The correlation between $X$ and is .54. $X$ has a SD of 1.2 and $Y$ has a SD of 5.4. What is the $\qquad$ regression coefficient (b) when $Y$ is predicted by $X$ ? $\qquad$
a) .12
b) 2.43 * $\qquad$
c) .375
d) .45 $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Difficult Item (51\%)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{[\ln (1-p)]-\ln (p)}{1.7} \\
Z & =\frac{(\ln (.49)-\ln (.51))}{1.7} \\
& =\frac{(-.713+.673)}{1.7} \\
& =-.004 / 1.7 \\
& =-.00235
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Easy Item ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ )

- For the following set of data [lllllll $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 5 & 5 & 2\end{array}$ 4, the mean is
a) 4
b) 5 *
c) 4.5
d) 6


## Difficult Item (100\%)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\frac{[\ln (1-p)]-\ln (p)}{1.7} \\
Z & =\frac{(\ln (0)-\ln (1))}{1.7} \\
& =\text { error }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Optimum Difficulty

- Mathematically: half-way between chance and 100\%.
- Steps (assuming a 5 -choice test) $\qquad$

1. Find half-way between $100 \%$ and chance

- $1-.2=.8, .8 / 2=.4$ $\qquad$

2. Add this value to chance alone

- $.4+.2=.6$
- Alternately: Chance + 1.0 / 2 = optimum difficulty
- A good test will have difficulty values between .30 and .70


## Discriminability

- Can be defined in 2 ways:

1. How well does each item distinguish (discriminate) between individuals who are scoring high and low on the test as a whole (e.g. the trait of interest).
2. Or simply how well is each item related to the trait (e.g. loadings in factor analysis)

- 1 and 2 are really the same the more an item is related to the trait the better it can distinguish high and low scoring individuals
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## Discriminability

$\qquad$

- Extreme Group Method
- First
- Identify two "extreme" groups
$\qquad$
- Top third vs. bottom third
- Second
- Compute "Difficulty" for the top group
- Compute "Difficulty" for the bottom group
- Compute the difference between Top Difficulty and Bottom Difficulty
- Result = Discriminability Index

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Discriminability

- Item/Total Correlation
- Let the total test score "stand in" for the trait of $\qquad$ interest; a roughly estimated "factor" of sorts
$\qquad$
- Correlate each item with the total test score; items with higher item/total correlations are more discriminating
- These correlations are like rough factor loadings


## Discriminability

$\qquad$

- Point Biserial Method
- If you have dichotomous scored items (e.g. MMPI) $\qquad$ or items with a correct answer
- Correlate the proportion of people getting each $\qquad$ item correct with total test score.
- One dichotomous variable (correct/incorrect) correlated with one continuous variable (total
$\qquad$ score) is a Point-Biserial correlation
- Measures discriminability
$\qquad$
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## Discriminability

- Point

Biserial Method

| tem | Item-Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| "43.1 prefer to pass by people I know..." | 0.490 |
| "46. I ama very scociable person." | 0.623 |
| "82. I like to go to parties and other affairs ..." | 0.348 |
| "151. It makes me uncomfortable to put on a stunt at a party..." | 0.350 |
| "160. I find it hard to make talk when I meet new people." | 0.589 |
| "178. I wish I were not so shy." | 0.47 |
| 245. In a group of people I would not be embarrassed.." | 0.444 |
| -248. Iam likely not to speak to people until they speak to me." | 0.558 |
| -257. In school I found it very hard to talk in front of the class." | 0.379 |
| 262. I seem to make friends about as quickly as others do." | 0.542 |
| -264. Idisilike having people around me." | 0.460 |
| -290. Often I cross the street in order not to meet someone I see." | 0.351 |
| "292. Ilike parties and socials." | 0.622 |
| "301. I Thave no dread of going into a room by myself ..." | 0.466 |
| "304. Whenever possible I avoid being in a crowd." | 0.585 |
| -316. At parties I am more likely to sit by myself or..." | 0.635 |
| "319. Ilove to go to dances." | 0.352 |
| -328. I am never happier than when alone." | 0.326 |
| "331. I enjoy social gatherings just to be with people." | 0.562 |
| "335. I enjoy the excitement of a crowd." | 0.490 |
| 336. Ido not mind meeting strangers." | 0.565 |
| -339. My worries seem to disappear when I get into a crowd. | 0.306 |
| -408. Some people think it's hard to get to know me." | 0.385 |
| "410. I spend most of my spare time by myself." | 0.461 |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Discriminability

- The discimination can be standardized in to a Z-value as well
$\mathrm{Z}=1 / 2[\ln (1+r)-\ln (1-r)]$


## Discriminability

$\qquad$

- The discimination can be standardized in to a
$\qquad$
Z-value as well

| Correlation | Z-Score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.10 | 0.100 |
| 0.25 | 0.255 |
| 0.50 | 0.549 |
| 0.75 | 0.973 |
| 0.80 | 1.099 |
| 0.90 | 1.472 |
| 0.95 | 1.832 |
| 0.99 | 2.647 |
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## Discriminability

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Selecting Items

- Using Difficulty and Discrimination together $\qquad$
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## Item Characteristic Curves

- A graph of the proportion of people getting each item correct, compared to total scores on the test.
- Ideally, lower test scores should go along with lower proportions of people getting a particular item correct.
- Ideally, higher test scores should go along with higher proportions of people getting a particular item correct.


## Item Characteristic Curves



## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves
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## Item Characteristic Curves



## Other Evaluation Techniques

- Item Response Theory
- viewing item response curves at different levels of difficulty
- Looks at standard error at different ranges of the trait you are trying to measure
- More on this in the next topic


## Other Evaluation Techniques

- Criterion-Referenced Tests
- Instead of comparing a score on a test or scale to other respondents' scores we can compare each individual to what they "should have scored".
- Requires that there is a set objective in order to assess whether the objective has been met
- E.g. In intro stats students should learn how to run an independent samplest-test a criterion referenced test could be used to test this. This needs to be demonstrated before moving on to another objective.


## Other Evaluation Techniques

- Criterion-Referenced Tests
- To evaluate CRT items
- Give the test to 2 groups one exposed to the material and one that has not seen the material
- Distribute the scores for the test in a frequency polygon
- The antimode (leasts frequent value) represents the cut score between those who were exposed to the material and those who weren't
- Scores above the cut score are assumed to have mastered the material, and vice versa


## Criterion Referenced Test



## Other Evaluation Techniques

- Criterion-Referenced Tests
- Often used with Mastery style learning
- Once a student indicates they've "mastered" the material he/she moves on to the next "module" of material $\qquad$
- If they do not pass the cut score for mastery they receive more instruction until they can master the material

