Psy 427 Cal State Northridge Andrew Ainsworth PhD

Test Items and Item Analysis

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Item Formats

- Dichotomous Format
 - Two alternatives
 - True/False
 - MMPI/2; MMPI/A
- Polytomous or Polychotomous Format
 - More than two alternatives
 - Multiple choice
 - Psy427 Midterm, SAT, GRE,

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Item Formats

Distractors

- Item Formats
 - Incorrect choices on a polychotomous test
 - Best to have three or four
- BUT -
 - one study (Sidick, Barret, & Doverspike, 1994) found equivalent validity and reliability for a test with two distractors (three items) as one with four distractors (five items).
- SO, best might be to have two to four (further study is needed)

Should you guess on polytomous tests?

• Depends... Correction for guessing:

Corrected Score = $R - \frac{W}{n-1}$

- R is the number correct
- W is the number incorrect
- n is the number of polytomous choices
- If no correction for guessing, guess away.
- If there is a correction for guessing, better to leave some blank (unless you can beat the odds)

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Other Test Items

- Likert scales
 - On a rating scale of 1-5, or 1-6, 1-7, etc. where
 - I = strongly disagree
 - 2 = moderately disagree
 - 3 = mildly disagree
 - 4 = mildly agree
 - 5 = moderately agree
 - 6 = strongly agree
- rate the following statements....

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Other Test Items

- Likert scales
 - Even vs. odd number of choices
 - Even numbers prevents "fence-sitting"
 - Odd numbers allows people to be neutral
 - Likert items are VERY popular measurement items in psychology.
 - Technically ordinal but are often assumed continuous if 5 or more choices
 - With that assumption we can calculate means, factor analyze, etc.

Other Test Items

- Category format
 - Like Likert, but with MANY more categories • e.g., 10-point scale
 - Best if used with anchors

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

• Research supports use of 7-point scales to 21point scales

Other Test Items

Visual Analogue Scale

No Headache

Worst Headache

- Also used in research
 - dials, knobs
 - time sampling

Checklists & Q-Sorts

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

- Both used in qualitative research as well as quantitative research
- Checklists
 - Present list of words (adjectives)
 - Have person choose to endorse each item
 - Can determine perceptions of concepts using checklists.

Checklists & Q-Sorts

Adjective Checklists (from

- http://www.encyclopedia.com/doc/1087-AdjectiveCheckList.html)
- In psychometrics, any list of adjectives that can be marked as applicable or not applicable
 - to oneself
 - to one's ideal self
 - to another person, OR
 - to some other entity or concept.

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Checklists & Q-Sorts

- Checklists
 - When written with initial uppercase letters (ACL), the term denotes more specifically a measure consisting of a list of 300 adjectives, from *absent-minded* to *zany*
 - Selected by the US psychologist Harrison G. Gough (born 1921) and introduced as a commercial test in 1952.
 - The test yields 24 scores, including measures of personal adjustment, self-confidence, self-control, lability, counselling readiness, some response styles, and 15 personality needs, such as achievement, dominance, and endurance.

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Checklists & Q-Sorts

Q-Sorts

- Introduced by William Stephenson in 1935
 PhD in physics 1926; PhD in psychology in 1929
 - Student of Charles Spearman
- Goal: to get a quantitative description of a person's perceptions of a concept
- Process: give subject a pile of numbered "cards" & have them sort them into piles
- Piles represent graded degrees of description (most descriptive to least descriptive).

Checklists & Q-Sorts

Q-Sorts

- Means of self-evaluation of client's current status
- The Q-Sort consists of a number of cards, often as many as 40 or 50, even 100 items each consisting of a single trait, belief, or behavior.
- The goal is to sort these cards into one of five columns ranging from statements such as, 'very much like me' to 'not at all like me.'
- There are typically a specific number of cards allowed for each column, forcing the client to balance the cards

evenly. Example:

California Q-sort , Attachment Q-sort











Item Analysis

- Methods used to evaluate test items.
- What are good items?
- Techniques
 - Item Difficulty (or easiness)
 - Discriminability
 - Extreme Group
 - Item/Total Correlation
 - Item Characteristic Curves
 - Item Response Theory
 - Criterion-Referenced Testing

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Item Difficulty

- The proportion of people who get a particular item correct or that endorse an item (if there is no "correct" response, e.g. MMPI)
- Often thought of as the item's easiness because it is based on the number correct/endorsed

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

6

Item Difficulty

• The difficulty can be given in proportion for or it can be standardized in to a Z-value

$$Z = \frac{[\ln(1-p)] - \ln(p)}{1.7}$$

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Item Difficulty

• For example a test with the difficulty of .84 $Z = \frac{(\ln(.16) - \ln(.84))}{1.7}$ $= \frac{(-1.83 + .17)}{1.7}$ = -1.66/1.7 = -1.00 $(-2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ is typical range})$ CalState Modified ger. Pay. 427

Difficult Item (35%)

If you are taking a criterion referenced test in a social psychology course and you need to score a 92 in order to get an A, the criterion is

- a) Social Psychology *
- b) Scoring a 92
- c) Getting an A
- d) Not enough info.

Difficult Item (35%)
$Z = \frac{[\ln(1-p)] - \ln(p)}{1.7}$
$Z = \frac{(\ln(.65) - \ln(.35))}{1.7}$
$=\frac{(431 + 1.050)}{1.7}$
=.619/1.7
=.364
Cal State Northridge - Psy 427



Мо	derate Item (51%)
Tł SI re by	ne correlation between X and is .54. X has a O of 1.2 and Y has a SD of 5.4. What is the gression coefficient (b) when Y is predicted VX?
a)	.12
b)	2.43*
c)	-375
d)	-45

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

23

Difficult Item (51%)	
$Z = \frac{[\ln(1-p)] - \ln(p)}{1.7}$	
$Z = \frac{(\ln(.49) - \ln(.51))}{1.7}$	
$=\frac{(713 + .673)}{1.7}$	
=004/1.7	
=00235 GalState Northridge - Poy 427	2
	-

8

Easy Item (100%)

• For the following set of data [5 9 5 5 2 4], the mean is

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

a) 4

- b) 5*
- c) 4.5
- d) 6

Difficult Item (100%) $Z = \frac{[\ln(1-p)] - \ln(p)}{1.7}$ $Z = \frac{(\ln(0) - \ln(1))}{1.7}$ = error

Optimum Difficulty

- Mathematically: half-way between chance and 100%.
- Steps (assuming a 5-choice test)
 - 1. Find half-way between 100% and chance
 - 1 .2 = .8, .8/2 = .4
 - 2. Add this value to chance alone
 - .4 + .2 = .6
- Alternately: Chance + 1.0 / 2 = optimum difficulty
 A good test will have difficulty values between
- .30 and .70

Discriminability

- Can be defined in 2 ways:
 - How well does each item distinguish (discriminate) between individuals who are scoring high and low on the test as a whole (e.g. the trait of interest).
 - 2. Or simply how well is each item related to the trait (e.g. loadings in factor analysis)
 - 1 and 2 are really the same the more an item is related to the trait the better it can distinguish high and low scoring individuals CalState Northridge - Pay 427

Discriminability

- Extreme Group Method
 - First
 - Identify two "extreme" groups
 - Top third vs. bottom third
 - Second
 - Compute "Difficulty" for the top group
 - Compute "Difficulty" for the bottom group
 - Compute the difference between Top Difficulty and Bottom Difficulty
 - Result = Discriminability Index

	High Scorers L (highest third) (1			ow Scorer owest third	Dicriminatio		
	Total	Total Endorsed %		Total Endorsed %		%	Dif %
" 43. I prefer to pass by people I know"	29356	16461	0.561	28823	1708	0.059	0.501
" 46. I am a very sociable person."	29356	22806	0.777	28823	1378	0.048	0.729
" 82. I like to go to parties and other affairs"	29356	24537	0.836	28823	11289	0.392	0.444
"151. It makes me uncomfortable to put on a stunt at a party"	29356	24319	0.828	28823	10581	0.367	0.461
"160. I find it hard to make talk when I meet new people."	29356	22813	0.777	28823	2033	0.071	0.707
"178. I wish I were not so shy."	29356	21216	0.723	28823	3531	0.123	0.600
"245. In a group of people I would not be embarrassed"	29356	15981	0.544	28823	1985	0.069	0.476
"248. I am likely not to speak to people until they speak to me."	29356	20076	0.684	28823	1639	0.057	0.627
"257. In school I found it very hard to talk in front of the class."	29356	24184	0.824	28823	9270	0.322	0.502
"262. I seem to make friends about as quickly as others do."	29356	16625	0.566	28823	909	0.032	0.535
"264. I dislike having people around me."	29356	8348	0.284	28823	164	0.006	0.279
"290. Often I cross the street in order not to meet someone I see."	29356	9008	0.307	28823	1072	0.037	0.270
"292. I like parties and socials."	29356	20745	0.707	28823	836	0.029	0.678
"301. I have no dread of going into a room by myself"	29356	19948	0.680	28823	3604	0.125	0.554
"304. Whenever possible I avoid being in a crowd."	29356	21172	0.721	28823	1648	0.057	0.664
"316. At parties I am more likely to sit by myself or"	29356	25105	0.855	28823	1989	0.069	0.786
"319. I love to go to dances."	29356	22092	0.753	28823	8443	0.293	0.460
"328. I am never happier than when alone."	29356	7040	0.240	28823	606	0.021	0.219
"331. I enjoy social gatherings just to be with people."	29356	20138	0.686	28823	2129	0.074	0.612
"335. I enjoy the excitement of a crowd."	29356	21954	0.748	28823	4133	0.143	0.604
"336. I do not mind meeting strangers."	29356	15343	0.523	28823	595	0.021	0.502
"339. My worries seem to disappear when I get into a crowd"	29356	18255	0.622	28823	6973	0.242	0.380
"408. Some people think it's hard to get to know me."	29356	20346	0.693	28823	5760	0.200	0.493
"410. I spend most of my spare time by myself."	29356	20786	0.708	28823	4026	0.140	0.568



Discriminability

- Item/Total Correlation
 - Let the total test score "stand in" for the trait of interest; a roughly estimated "factor" of sorts
 - Correlate each item with the total test score; items with higher item/total correlations are more discriminating
 - These correlations are like rough factor loadings

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Discriminability

- Point Biserial Method
 - If you have dichotomous scored items (e.g. MMPI) or items with a correct answer
 - Correlate the proportion of people getting each item correct with total test score.
 - One dichotomous variable (correct/incorrect) correlated with one continuous variable (total score) is a Point-Biserial correlation
 - Measures discriminability

Discrimin	ability	
	Item	Item-Total Correlation
	" 43. I prefer to pass by people I know"	0.490
	" 46. I am a very sociable person."	0.623
	" 82. I like to go to parties and other affairs"	0.348
Doint	"151. It makes me uncomfortable to put on a stunt at a party "	0.350
FOIL	"160. I find it hard to make talk when I meet new people."	0.589
Discuist	"178. I wish I were not so shy."	0.470
Biseriai	"245. In a group of people I would not be embarrassed "	0.444
	"248. I am likely not to speak to people until they speak to me."	0.558
Method	"257. In school I found it very hard to talk in front of the class."	0.379
	"262. I seem to make friends about as quickly as others do."	0.542
	"264. I dislike having people around me."	0.460
	"290. Often I cross the street in order not to meet someone I see."	0.351
	"292. I like parties and socials."	0.622
	"301. I have no dread of going into a room by myself"	0.466
	"304. Whenever possible I avoid being in a crowd."	0.585
	"316. At parties I am more likely to sit by myself or"	0.635
	"319. I love to go to dances."	0.352
	"328. I am never happier than when alone."	0.326
	"331. I enjoy social gatherings just to be with people."	0.562
	"335. I enjoy the excitement of a crowd."	0.490
	"336. I do not mind meeting strangers."	0.565
	"339. My worries seem to disappear when I get into a crowd"	0.306
	"408. Some people think it's hard to get to know me."	0.385
	"410. I spend most of my spare time by myself."	0.461



Discriminability

• The discimination can be standardized in to a Z-value as well

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln(1+r) - \ln(1-r) \right]$$

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Discriminability

• The discimination can be standardized in to a Z-value as well

Correlation	Z-Score
0.10	0.100
0.25	0.255
0.50	0.549
0.75	0.973
0.80	1.099
0.90	1.472
0.95	1.832
0.99	2.647
Cal State Northridee Bruk	27

	Item-Total	Standardized (Z-
Item	Correlation	value)
" 43. I prefer to pass by people I know"	0.490	0.537
"46. I am a very sociable person."	0.623	0.730
" 82. I like to go to parties and other affairs"	0.348	0.364
"151. It makes me uncomfortable to put on a stunt at a party "	0.350	0.366
"160. I find it hard to make talk when I meet new people."	0.589	0.676
"178. I wish I were not so shy."	0.470	0.510
"245. In a group of people I would not be embarrassed"	0.444	0.477
"248. I am likely not to speak to people until they speak to me."	0.558	0.630
"257. In school I found it very hard to talk in front of the class."	0.379	0.398
"262. I seem to make friends about as quickly as others do."	0.542	0.607
"264. I dislike having people around me."	0.460	0.498
"290. Often I cross the street in order not to meet someone I see."	0.351	0.367
"292. I like parties and socials."	0.622	0.729
"301. I have no dread of going into a room by myself"	0.466	0.505
"304. Whenever possible I avoid being in a crowd."	0.585	0.671
"316. At parties I am more likely to sit by myself or"	0.635	0.750
"319. I love to go to dances."	0.352	0.367
"328. I am never happier than when alone."	0.326	0.339
"331. I enjoy social gatherings just to be with people."	0.562	0.635
"335. I enjoy the excitement of a crowd."	0.490	0.535
"336. I do not mind meeting strangers."	0.565	0.640
"339. My worries seem to disappear when I get into a crowd"	0.306	0.316
"408. Some people think it's hard to get to know me."	0.385	0.406
"410. I spend most of my spare time by myself."	0.461	0.498



Selecting Items

Using Difficulty and Discrimination together





Item Characteristic Curves

- A graph of the proportion of people getting each item correct, compared to total scores on the test.
- Ideally, lower test scores should go along with lower proportions of people getting a particular item correct.
- Ideally, higher test scores should go along with higher proportions of people getting a particular item correct.



















































Item Characteristic Curves























































Other Evaluation Techniques

- Item Response Theory
 - viewing item response curves at different levels of difficulty
 - Looks at standard error at different ranges of the trait you are trying to measure
 - More on this in the next topic

```
Cal State Northridge - Psy 427
```

Other Evaluation Techniques

- Criterion-Referenced Tests
 - Instead of comparing a score on a test or scale to other respondents' scores we can compare each individual to what they "should have scored".
 - Requires that there is a set objective in order to assess whether the objective has been met
 - E.g. In intro stats students should learn how to run an independent samples t-test a criterion referenced test could be used to test this. This needs to be demonstrated before moving on to another objective.

Cal State Northridge - Psy 427

Other Evaluation Techniques

- Criterion-Referenced Tests
 - To evaluate CRT items
 - Give the test to 2 groups one exposed to the material and one that has not seen the material
 - Distribute the scores for the test in a frequency polygon
 - The antimode (leasts frequent value) represents the cut score between those who were exposed to the material and those who weren't
 - Scores above the cut score are assumed to have mastered the material, and vice versa



Other Evaluation Techniques

Criterion-Referenced Tests

- Often used with Mastery style learning
 - Once a student indicates they've "mastered" the material he/she moves on to the next "module" of material
 - If they do not pass the cut score for mastery they receive more instruction until they can master the material